

Efficient catalytic oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols using a non-heme dinuclear iron complex

Alette G. J. Ligtenberg,^a Peter Oosting,^a Gerard Roelfes,^a René M. La Crois,^a Martin Lutz,^b Anthony L. Spek,^b Ronald Hage*^a and Ben L. Feringa*^a

^a Department of Organic and Molecular Inorganic Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: b.l.feringa@chem.rug.nl

^b Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 22nd November 2000, Accepted 18th January 2001

First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th February 2001

A novel μ -oxo diiron(III) complex is capable of fast and selective oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols in the presence of H_2O_2 and a remarkable increase in reaction rate is achieved by addition of 1 eq. of $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$.

In nature, a variety of non-heme metalloenzymes are present which are capable of oxidation of substrates with high turnover frequencies and excellent selectivity.¹ An example is the mononuclear copper enzyme galactose oxidase (GOase) which catalyses the aerobic oxidation of benzylic and allylic alcohols to their corresponding aldehydes with concomitant formation of H_2O_2 . The study of model complexes not only provides invaluable information about metalloenzymes, but also can result in the development of new generations of oxidation catalysts.² Beautiful examples are the GOase models developed by the groups of Wieghardt and Stack, which are capable of oxidation of alcohols to the corresponding aldehydes with high yield and selectivity.³ An iron(II) complex of a pentadentate ligand N4Py[†] was developed as a model system for Fe-BLM.^{4,5†} This system is capable of oxidising alkanes using H_2O_2 via a radical type mechanism. To explore the effect of ligand variations on the oxidation behaviour of the complex, we prepared a ligand HL^{1†} in which one of the pyridyl groups is replaced by a phenolate moiety. The corresponding μ -oxo dinuclear iron complex of HL¹ proved to be a selective and efficient catalyst for the oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones using H_2O_2 as the oxidant. Yields up to 65% based on H_2O_2 can be reached.


The synthesis of HL¹ is shown in Scheme 1. Complexation of the ligand with $\text{Fe(II)(ClO}_4)_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in MeOH, in the presence of 1 eq. of Et_3N followed by slow diffusion of ethyl acetate into the methanolic solution yielded purple crystals of complex **1** ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 540\text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{M}} = 5.6 \cdot 10^3\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$) in 50% yield.[‡] The complex was characterised as an antiferromagnetically coupled diiron(III) species based on its EPR silent nature and its ¹H-NMR spectrum, which exhibits paramagnetically shifted signals in the 0–40 ppm range. The ESI/MS spectrum shows a peak at m/z 445 ($[(\text{L}^1)\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{Fe}(\text{L}^1)]^{2+}$), which is consistent with the formulation of **1** as $\{[(\text{L}^1)\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{Fe}(\text{L}^1)](\text{ClO}_4)_2\}$. Further proof for this assignment was obtained from the crystal structure of the corresponding μ -oxo diiron(III) complex $[(\text{L}^1)\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-O})\text{Fe}(\text{L}^1)](\text{PF}_6)_2$ (**2**), depicted in Scheme 1, which was synthesised by adding $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to a solution of the ligand in methanol, followed by the addition of 1 eq. of Et_3N and 2 eq. of NH_4PF_6 . Dark purple–blue crystals were obtained by slow diffusion of ether into the solution of **2**. Each iron(III) ion adopts a distorted octahedral coordination geometry involving a tertiary amine, three pyridine nitrogens, a phenolate oxygen atom and the bridging oxygen atom, with the Fe–O–Fe angle being $151.22(10)^\circ$.[§] The crystal structure of **2** gave no indications that a proton is present at the phenolate moiety, which is consistent with the ESI/MS results of **1** (*vide supra*).

Complex **1** was examined as a catalyst (0.1 mol%) in the oxidation of various substrates using H_2O_2 as the oxidant.¶

Primary and secondary alcohols are oxidised rapidly (Table 1).||

The oxidation of benzyl alcohol was monitored in time by GC. In Fig. 1 the turnovers per iron centre are plotted against time. Surprisingly, already 4 eq. of benzaldehyde have been formed in a reproducible manner, 30 s after the reaction was started by adding H_2O_2 . The origin of this initial burst of activity is unclear. After the initial oxidation, a lag phase was observed. A significant increase in catalytic activity occurred after approximately 40 min and after 75 min the catalytic activity ceased because all the H_2O_2 was consumed. A total of 50 turnovers towards benzaldehyde was reached. Although a trace of benzoic acid was obtained, no other side products were produced according to GC. When another aliquot of H_2O_2 is added after 90 min, the catalyst is immediately active and no lag phase is observed. A value of 96 turnovers per iron centre can be obtained after 180 min. This can be repeated at least 3 times without significant loss of activity, showing a good stability of the system during catalytic turnover.

The UV/Vis absorption of **1** at 540 nm was monitored concomitantly with the oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde. During the lag phase the solution remains purple but after 45 min the colour changes to yellow. This colour change coincides with the end of the lag phase, suggesting that the yellow species is responsible for the oxidation activity.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of HL¹ and crystal structure of the cation of **2** (displacement ellipsoid plot with 50% probability level in which hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Reagents and conditions: i, 2-pyridine carbaldehyde, 2 h, 97%; ii, NaBH_4 , MeOH, 2 h, 90%; iii, 2-(bromo-methyl)phenyl acetate, $^i\text{Pr}_2\text{EtN}$, EtOAc, 3 d, 66%; iv, K_2CO_3 , MeOH, 1 h, 89%; v, $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, Et_3N , NH_4PF_6 , 29%; Selected interatomic distances (Å): Fe–O: 1.93, Fe(1)–O(2) 1.79, Fe(1)–N(1) 2.26, Fe(1)–N(2) 2.17, Fe(1)–N(3) 2.22, Fe(1)–N(4) 2.13.

Table 1 Turnover numbers (t.o.n.) of the catalytic oxidation experiments with **1** using H₂O₂

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time/min	t.o.n. ^a
1 ^b	Benzyl alcohol	Benzaldehyde	75	50
2 ^c	Benzyl alcohol	Benzaldehyde	15	50
3 ^d	Benzyl alcohol	Benzaldehyde	90	15
4 ^e	Benzyl alcohol	Benzaldehyde	180	96
5	Cyclohexanol	Cyclohexanone	60	28
6	Cyclohexanol	Cyclohexanone	60	65
7	Cyclooctanol	Cyclooctanone	180	11
8	Octan-1-ol	Octanal ^f	180	11
9	<i>sec</i> -Phenylethyl Alcohol	Acetophenone	60	50

^a t.o.n. = mol product/mol catalyst. ^b In the absence of catalyst a negligible amount of 0.004 mmol of benzaldehyde was formed under the standard reaction conditions after 90 min, whereas in the presence of Fe(II)(ClO₄)₂ only 8 turnovers were reached. ^c In the presence of 1 eq. CF₃SO₃H. ^d With 100 eq. of substrate instead of 1000 eq. ^e Addition of another aliquot of H₂O₂ after 90 min. ^f Octanoic acid was formed as side product.




Fig. 1 Catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde using **1**: (■) time course of the turnovers, (●) time dependent decay of the UV band at $\lambda = 540$ nm and (▲) oxidation in the presence of 1 eq. CF₃SO₃H.

Several observations suggest that the active oxidising complex is a mononuclear species. First, complex **1** is EPR silent whereas upon addition of benzyl alcohol and H₂O₂, when the solution becomes yellow, a strong EPR signal can be observed at $g = 4.3$ which is characteristic for a mononuclear high-spin iron(III) complex.⁶ Secondly, it was found that alcohols, which are known to be capable of breaking up the oxo-bridge of some dinuclear iron μ -oxo complexes to form monomeric structures by coordination to the metal centre,⁶ are oxidised rapidly. In contrast, the active yellow species is formed very slowly in the absence of substrate or with a non-coordinating substrate like cyclohexene. Finally, we envisaged that protonation of the oxo-bridge in **1** would facilitate the formation of the mononuclear species and hence would speed up the reaction. Upon addition of triflic acid (CF₃SO₃H) to **1** in acetone a blue colour appears. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of this solution shows broad signals in the -10 to 120 ppm range consistent with the presence of mononuclear high-spin Fe(III) species. The ESI/MS spectrum shows prominent peaks at m/z 581 and 472, which corresponds to [L¹Fe^{III}-OTf]⁺ and [(HL¹)Fe^{III}(OH)₂]⁺, respectively. Indeed when CF₃SO₃H (1 eq.) was used, the reaction rate increased dramatically (Fig. 1). The yellow species was formed immediately upon addition of H₂O₂ and after 15 min already 50 turnovers are reached in the oxidation of benzyl alcohol.

Although the exact reaction mechanism is not known yet, some tentative conclusions can be drawn. The fact that benzene, which can act as a hydroxyl radical trap,⁵ is not oxidised by this system, combined with the large kinetic deuterium isotope

effect (KIE, $k_H/k_D = 4.0$) that was observed in a competition experiment between benzyl alcohol and benzyl alcohol-*d*₇, it can be concluded that oxidising species more selective than hydroxyl radicals are present. When the KIE was determined 30 s after starting the reaction by addition of H₂O₂, *i.e.* after the initial burst of activity, a value of 1.8 was obtained indicating the presence of a highly reactive oxidising species in the initial stage of the reaction. Finally, since the purple colour of **1** is indicative of a LMCT transition between the phenolic part of the ligand and the iron(III) center,^{7,8} it is most likely that the phenolic moiety is no longer coordinated to the iron centre in this yellow species, which is thought to be responsible for oxidation activity.

In conclusion, a new Fe(III) containing catalyst has been developed for selective oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols using H₂O₂. A dramatic enhancement in the reaction rate upon addition of acid has been observed, which is attributed to accelerated formation of the active mononuclear catalyst.

Mrs C. M. Jeronimus-Stratingh is gratefully acknowledged for performing the ESI-MS measurements. This work was supported in part (A. L. S., M. L.) by the Council for Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO).

Notes and references

† Abbreviations used: N4Py = *N,N*-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)-*N*-bis(2-pyridyl)methylamine; HL¹ = 2-((di(2-pyridyl)methyl)(2-pyridylmethyl)-amino)methylphenol; Fe-BLM = iron bleomycin.

‡ Anal. calcd. for C₄₈H₄₂N₈O₃Fe₂Cl₂·H₂O: C 52.07, H 4.01, N 10.13%; found: C 52.17, H 3.80, N 10.03%.

§ *Crystal data*: [C₄₈H₄₂Fe₂N₈O₃](PF₆)₂, *Fw* = 1180.54, dark blue needle, 0.45 × 0.24 × 0.15 mm³, monoclinic, *C2/c* (No. 15), *a* = 31.0660(6), *b* = 11.1832(2), *c* = 33.8507(7) Å, β = 100.6240(7)°, *V* = 11558.7(4) Å³, *Z* = 8, ρ = 1.357 g cm⁻³. 83260 reflections were measured on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer with rotating anode ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at a temperature of 150(2) K. 10198 reflections were unique ($R_{int} = 0.043$). *R*-values [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]: *R1* = 0.0416, *wR2* = 0.1173. Molecular illustration, structure checking and calculations were performed with the PLATON package. CCDC 154063. See <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b0/b009368h/> for crystallographic files in .cif format.

¶ Oxidation reactions were performed in acetone, under an argon atmosphere in a water bath thermostatted at 25 °C. In a typical reaction, 3.5 mmol of substrate (1000 eq.) was added to 4 ml of a stock solution of 1.75 μ mol of the catalyst (*i.e.* 3.5 μ mol of iron) and a known amount of the internal standard bromobenzene. The reaction was initiated by addition of 35 μ l of H₂O₂ (30% solution in water, 100 eq.) and monitored by GC.

|| Alkenes and alkanes were also investigated, but these reactions are slow (6–18 h), less selective and turnover numbers are lower (typically 10–20).

- 1 For reviews on iron based enzymes, see: E. I. Solomon, T. C. Brunold, M. I. Davis, J. N. Kemsley, S.-K. Lee, N. Lehnert, F. Neese, A. J. Skulan, Y.-S. Yang and J. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 235; L. Que, Jr. and R. Y. N. Ho, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 2607.
- 2 H. J. Krüger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 627.
- 3 Y. Wang, J. L. DuBois, B. Hedman, K. O. Hodgson and T. D. P. Stack, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 537; P. Chaudhuri, M. Hess, U. Flörke and K. Wieghardt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 2217.
- 4 M. Lubben, A. Meetsma, E. C. Wilkinson, B. Feringa and L. Que, Jr., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1512; G. Roelfes, M. Lubben, K. Chen, R. Y. N. Ho, A. Meetsma, S. Genseberger, R. M. Hermant, R. Hage, S. K. Mandal, V. G. Young, Jr., Y. Zang, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, L. Que, Jr. and B. L. Feringa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 1929; R. Y. N. Ho, G. Roelfes, B. L. Feringa and L. Que, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 264.
- 5 G. Roelfes, M. Lubben, R. Hage, L. Que, Jr. and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 2152.
- 6 J. Kim, E. Larka, E. C. Wilkinson and L. Que, Jr., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 2048.
- 7 S. Ito, M. Suzuki, T. Kobayashi, H. Itoh, A. Harada, S. Ohba and Y. Nishida, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 2579.
- 8 S. Yan, L. Que, Jr., L. F. Taylor and O. P. Anderson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5222.